



Theoretical Background

 Predictable words are less prominent than less predictable words (Jurafsky et. al, 2000; Pluymaekers, Ernestus, & Baayen, 2005; Watson, Arnold, & Tanenhaus, 2008).

Repeated words are less prominent

than non-repeated words (Fowler & Housum, 1987; Bard & Aylett, 1999).

 Predictability and repeated mention are correlated in natural speech (Arnold, 1998).

Theories of Acoustic Prominence Listener centered: Speakers lengthen unpredictable words to make them easier for listeners to identify (e.g. Aylett & Turk, 2004). Speaker centered: Speakers make unpredictable words more prominent because they are more difficult for the speakers to produce (e.g. Bell et al., 2009).

Motivation

To test predictability and repeated mention independently to see if they lead to separate independent effects on prosodic prominence. Test whether effects are listener or speaker driven.

Procedure

1.) Twelve images appear on the screen 2.) One of the images shrinks. The participant describes this event. 3.) One of the images gets circled. The participant watches this event. 4.) One of the images flashes. The participant also describes this event.

Do repeated mention and expectancy independently affect prosodic prominence? Tuan Lam¹, Duane Watson¹, & Jennifer Arnold² University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign ,² University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Repeated Mention

• **Repeated**: the object that shrank also flashed

• Non-repeated: one object shrank, and a different object flashed

Expectedness

• Expected: a grey circle cued the participant to the object that flashed.

• Unexpected: a grey circle miscued the participant to an object that did not flash



Funded by NIH grant: R01DC008774



Predictions

be more prominent than expected words. should be more reduced than non-repeated words. duration.



Results Summary

Linear mixed effects regression model tested for effects of predictability and repetition on Intensity and Duration.

Predictors

Expectedness Repeated Mention

Expectedness*Repeated

Conclusions

These results suggest that predictability and repeated mention do indeed have separate measurable effects on prosodic prominence. While predictability seems to affect only intensity, repeated mention leads to reduction in both intensity and duration. These data are inconsistent with a listener centered theory of duration.

- If predictablity affects prosodic prominence, unexpected words should
- If repeated mention affects prosodic prominence, repeated words
- Listener centered theories argue that predictability should affect

	Intensity	Duration
	***	n.s.
	** 🖡	**** 🖡
Mention	n.s.	n.s.